

by them
the
of the
representing
W. Parker
this with
election
the hands
led forth
to arrange
and as
which as
ceived at
l of the
O, of which
the
the ac-
tenced.
into N.
and
are related
D that
to the
That the
and the
he con-
the Rev
ding
incident for
iced to
as a divi-
sion of
ited and
the
D of the
the
con-
as
and
the
Board

1866
The Secretary submitted copy
of a letter of introduction at the suit of Thomas
William Bradstreet for recovery of com-
pensation for injuries sustained by being
knocked down by an omnibus of the company
on London Bridge - the names of several wit-
nesses of the accident ready by - submitted to
jurors the company's driver was submitted
and it was stated that the accident elect
were anxious to defend the claims. Resolved
that the action be defended.

Thomas Sulken

Special Board

London Tavern - 31st March 1863

Present Mr. Savin the Chair
Mr. Vernon Mr. Lawer
" Kewat " M. Stannard
" Sullivan " Toché
" Barber " Arnould
Mr. Marselli

1866

Proxies

stated to the Board that in consequence of
the officers meeting which took place on
20th inst. some of the French shareholders &
among them M. Bonder - M. Brunton,
M. Perron, M. Samier, and M. Pesse, have
tended voluntarily to M. Barberet one of
their share proxies with an imperative in-
struction requiring upon the holder a
special notice on several questions, among others
that of fixing the dividend, and ask the

Board to decide if it is fit that a ~~French~~ Director accept a proxy so determined.

The same French Director adds that already on several occasions, distressed colleagues and he had refused to receive proxies other than those which were given without confidence that they would use them with full liberty of judging for themselves what they thought useful for the interest of the Company, and that in his opinion no Director French or English could accept any other proxy than that.

He concluded by asking that before going into the General Meeting the Board should record an opinion which might serve as a guide for each of the Directors.

After a discussion in which several Directors took part, the Board unanimously decided.

1. That Mr. Barteret ought not to make any use of the proxies which had been given him with an imperative direction.
2. That in future in the event of a similar occurrence, the shareholders should be advised that if they have amendments to present upon the resolutions determined by the Board they must close other proxies than the Directors, bound to abide by the resolutions to which they have agreed.

That to avoid otherwise would be to sow discord in the Board to the great prejudice of the Company's interests.

Thomas Lathrop